

WASHINGTON STATE HIGH SCHOOL ETHICS BOWL
SCORING CRITERIA

PRESENTING Team's Initial Presentation (up to 10 points total)

- A) *Did the team clearly and systematically identify and thoroughly discuss the case's central ethical dimensions?* (up to 5 points)
- 5 = Clearly identified ethically relevant question(s) and thoroughly discussed key ethical dimensions.
 - 4 = Mostly identified and discussed in a reasonably clear way the key ethical dimensions of the case.
 - 3 = Adequately identified and discussed some key ethical dimensions, with significant dimensions missed and or part of the argument hard to follow (passable).
 - 2 = Misidentified and/or inadequately discussed some key ethical dimensions and/or demonstrated some serious problems in logic and/or reasoning (poor).
 - 1 = Incoherent presentation that ignored relevant ethical dimensions of the case.
- B) *Did the presentation indicate awareness and thoughtful consideration of different viewpoints, including those likely to loom large in the reasoning of individuals who disagree with team's position?* (up to 5 points)
- 5 = Insightful analysis of different viewpoints, including careful attention to differing viewpoints.
 - 4 = Solid analysis of different viewpoints, including careful attention to differing viewpoints.
 - 3 = Underdeveloped discussion of different viewpoints (passable).
 - 2 = Minimal consideration of different viewpoints (poor).
 - 1 = Minimal awareness of different viewpoints.

RESPONDING Team's Commentary on Opposing Team's Initial Presentation (up to 5 points)

- 5 = Especially insightful, complete, and composed commentary.
- 4 = Key points excellently addressed and a solid response to presenting team's points.
- 3 = Some points made, but few insights or constructive ideas (passable).
- 2 = Weak or irrelevant response or just asking questions (poor).
- 1 = Failure to respond to presenting team or resorting to personal attacks.

PRESENTING Team's Response to Opposing Team's Commentary (up to 5 points)

- 5 = Especially insightful, complete, and composed response.
- 4 = Key points excellently addressed and a solid response to commenting team's points.
- 3 = Some points made, but few insights or constructive ideas (passable).
- 2 = Weak or irrelevant response or just asking questions (poor).
- 1 = Failure to respond to commentary or resorting to personal attacks.

CIVIL DIALOGUE (up to 8 points, 4 points per case)

- 3 = Respectfully engaged all parties in exceptionally productive discussion with open and thoughtful attention to the views of the other team
- 2 = Respectful of other team's argument but only marginal engagement and pursuit
- 1 = Dismissive and/or combative in team's engagement with the other team's argument

PRESENTING Team's Response to Judges' Questions (up to 7 points)

- 7 = Exceptionally composed, complete, and thoughtful responses.
- 6 = Key points zeroed in on.
- 5 = Solid response to judges' questions, with some gaps or inconsistencies.
- 4 = Team responded well to some of the judges' questions but not all.
- 3 = Team was able to respond to some questions but not most (passable).
- 2 = Weak or irrelevant responses to all or most questions (poor).
- 1 = Failure to respond in any relevant way to the judges' questions.

WASHINGTON STATE HIGH SCHOOL ETHICS BOWL SCORE SHEET

Judge's Name _____ Round # _____

TEAM A _____

TEAM B _____

First Case

TEAM A PRESENTATION (1st Case) (6 minutes)

_____ A. Identification of central ethical elements
(1-5 points)

+ _____ B. Consideration of differing viewpoints
(1-5 points)

+ _____ **TEAM A RESPONSE TO COMMENTARY**
(1-5 points) (3 minutes)

+ _____ **TEAM A CIVIL DIALOGUE**
(1-4 points) (5 minutes)

+ _____ **TEAM A RESPONSES TO JUDGES' QUESTIONS**
(1-7 points) (10 minutes)

+ _____ **TEAM B COMMENTARY (1st Case)**
(1-5 points) (3 minutes)

+ _____ **TEAM B CIVIL DIALOGUE**
(1-4 points) (5 minutes)

Second Case

TEAM B PRESENTATION (2nd Case) (6 minutes)

_____ A. Identification of central ethical elements
(1-5 points)

+ _____ B. Consideration of differing viewpoints
(1-5 points)

+ _____ **TEAM A COMMENTARY (2nd Case)**
(1-5 points) (3 minutes)

+ _____ **TEAM B RESPONSE TO COMMENTARY**
(1-5 points) (3 minutes)

+ _____ **TEAM A CIVIL DIALOGUE**
(1-4 points) (5 minutes)

+ _____ **TEAM B CIVIL DIALOGUE**
(1-4 points) (5 minutes)

+ _____ **TEAM B RESPONSES TO JUDGES' QUESTIONS**
(1-7 points) (10 minutes)

= _____ **TEAM A TOTAL POINTS**
(up to 35 points)

= _____ **TEAM B TOTAL POINTS**
(up to 35 points)

COMMENTS:

COMMENTS: