PRESENTING Team’s Initial Presentation (up to 10 points total)

A) Did the team clearly and systematically identify and thoroughly discuss the case’s central ethical dimensions? (up to 5 points)
   - 5 = Clearly identified ethically relevant question(s) and thoroughly discussed key ethical dimensions.
   - 4 = Mostly identified and discussed in a reasonably clear way the key ethical dimensions of the case.
   - 3 = Adequately identified and discussed some key ethical dimensions, with significant dimensions missed and or part of the argument hard to follow (passable).
   - 2 = Misidentified and/or inadequately discussed some key ethical dimensions and/or demonstrated some serious problems in logic and/or reasoning (poor).
   - 1 = Incoherent presentation that ignored relevant ethical dimensions of the case.

B) Did the presentation indicate awareness and thoughtful consideration of different viewpoints, including those likely to loom large in the reasoning of individuals who disagree with team’s position? (up to 5 points)
   - 5 = Insightful analysis of different viewpoints, including careful attention to differing viewpoints.
   - 4 = Solid analysis of different viewpoints, including careful attention to differing viewpoints.
   - 3 = Underdeveloped discussion of different viewpoints (passable).
   - 2 = Minimal consideration of different viewpoints (poor).
   - 1 = Minimal awareness of different viewpoints.

RESPONDING Team’s Commentary on Opposing Team’s Initial Presentation (up to 5 points)

- 5 = Especially insightful, complete, and composed commentary.
- 4 = Key points excellently addressed and a solid response to presenting team’s points.
- 3 = Some points made, but few insights or constructive ideas (passable).
- 2 = Weak or irrelevant response or just asking questions (poor).
- 1 = Failure to respond to presenting team or resorting to personal attacks.

PRESENTING Team’s Response to Opposing Team’s Commentary (up to 5 points)

- 5 = Especially insightful, complete, and composed response.
- 4 = Key points excellently addressed and a solid response to commenting team’s points.
- 3 = Some points made, but few insights or constructive ideas (passable).
- 2 = Weak or irrelevant response or just asking questions (poor).
- 1 = Failure to respond to commentary or resorting to personal attacks.

CIVIL DIALOGUE (up to 8 points, 4 points per case)

- 3 = Respectfully engaged all parties in exceptionally productive discussion with open and thoughtful attention to the views of the other team.
- 2 = Respectful of other team's argument but only marginal engagement and pursuit.
- 1 = Dismissive and/or combative in team’s engagement with the other team’s argument.

PRESENTING Team’s Response to Judges’ Questions (up to 7 points)

- 7 = Exceptionally composed, complete, and thoughtful responses.
- 6 = Key points zeroed in on.
- 5 = Solid response to judges’ questions, with some gaps or inconsistencies.
- 4 = Team responded well to some of the judges’ questions but not all.
- 3 = Team was able to respond to some questions but not most (passable).
- 2 = Weak or irrelevant responses to all or most questions (poor).
- 1 = Failure to respond in any relevant way to the judges’ questions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Judge’s Name</th>
<th>Round #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TEAM A</td>
<td>TEAM B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**First Case**

**TEAM A PRESENTATION (1st Case) (6 minutes)**

- ______ A. Identification of central ethical elements (1-5 points)
- + ______ B. Consideration of differing viewpoints (1-5 points)

**TEAM A RESPONSE TO COMMENTARY** (1-5 points) (3 minutes)

**TEAM A CIVIL DIALOGUE** (1-4 points) (5 minutes)

**TEAM A RESPONSES TO JUDGES’ QUESTIONS** (1-7 points) (10 minutes)

**TEAM B**

- + ______ TEAM B COMMENTARY (1st Case) (1-5 points) (3 minutes)

**TEAM B CIVIL DIALOGUE** (1-4 points) (5 minutes)

**TEAM B RESPONSES TO JUDGES’ QUESTIONS** (1-7 points) (10 minutes)

**Second Case**

**TEAM B PRESENTATION (2nd Case) (6 minutes)**

- ______ A. Identification of central ethical elements (1-5 points)
- + ______ B. Consideration of differing viewpoints (1-5 points)

**TEAM B RESPONSE TO COMMENTARY** (1-5 points) (3 minutes)

**TEAM B CIVIL DIALOGUE** (1-4 points) (5 minutes)

**TEAM B RESPONSES TO JUDGES’ QUESTIONS** (1-7 points) (10 minutes)

**= ______ TEAM A TOTAL POINTS** (up to 35 points)

**= ______ TEAM B TOTAL POINTS** (up to 35 points)

**COMMENTS:**